by the Government, would also be considered to encourage production of drugs which are more essential to the needs of the country.

Revision of existing List of delicensing drugs

329. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have decided to revise the existing list of delicensing drugs while preparing the fresh list on the basis of criteria spelt out;

(b) if so, when will the existing list be revised and fresh list be identified;

(c) whether Government propose to set up a committee for this purpose;

(d) if so, when and what will be the basis of selection of members of that Committee;

(e) whether it is also a fact that most of the drugs on existing list do not fulfil the criteria announced and were identified on arbitrary basis;

(f) whether it is also a fact that no fresh capacity has been created in the country of delicensed drugs due to this policy; and

(g) if so, what are the reasons for the same and what immediate steps are being taken to attract fresh investment in positive direction?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (b) No firm date has been fixed for revising the list of delicensed drugs.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) The list of existing delicensed drugs is based on definite criteria which was laid down for this purpose.

(f) No, Sir.

(g) Does not arise.

Measures under new Drug Policy

330. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that proposed measures announced in December, 1986 are in fact amendments to certain provisions of Drug Policy, 1978;

(b) if so, what are the names of the provisions which have not been amended and stand valid;

(c) whether it is also a fact that a number of provisions of 1978 Drug Policy either have not been implemented or Partially implemented;

(d) what steps have been contemplated to implement those provisions which still exist;

(e) whether it is also a fact that proposed measures have been decided on the report of a Committee after indepth study;

(f) if so, name of the Committee along with the names of its members;

(g) what are the details of recommendations of the Committee which have not been accepted along with the reason in each case;

(h) whether it is also a fact that these measures have been welcomed by Drug Industry; and

(i) if so, what are the details of benefits provided to the industry?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (b) The provisions of 1978 Drug Policy stand amended to the extent these have been incorporated in the

new measures announced in December, 1986.

(c) and (d) The new measures aim at rationalising and simplifying the provisions of Drug Policy 1978 for its effective implementation.

(e) to (g) In the light of the experience gained in the implementation of Drug Policy 1978 and the Drug (Prices Control) Order, 1979 and after considering the views of various industry Associations, Consumers' Welfare Organisations and the Report of National Drug & Pharmaceuticals Development Council, Govt. have announced these measures.

The composition of the NDPDC is given in the enclosed statement (*See* below). Recommendations made by the NDPDC are contained in its Report, copies of which are available in the Parliament Library.

(h) Yes, Sir.

(i) The main objective of the measures is to create environment conducive to channelising new investment into the Pharmaceutical industry, to encourage cost effective production with economic sizes and to introduce new technologies and new drugs. It also aims at strengthening the indigenous capability for production of drugs.

Statement

Constitution of the National Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Development Council

1. Minister for Chemical and Fertilizers	*Chairman*
2. Minister of State in the Ministry of Chemicals and Fertilizers	*Vice-Chairman*
3. Secretary, Chemicals and Fertilizers	*Member*
4. Director General of Health Services, Ministry of Health.	*Member*
5. Drug Controller, Ministry of Health	*Member*
6. Shri Krishna Mohan Bhamidipati. Member of Parlaiment from Rajya Sabha.	*Member*
7. Shri Mahendra Prasad, Member of Parlaiment, Lok Sabha	*Member*
8. Chairman, Indian Council of Medical Research	*Member*
9. Prof. Sharma, Department of Chemicals Technology, Bombay University, Bombay	*Member*
10. Dr. Nam Johsi, Specialist in Indigenous Medicines, Bombay	*Member*
11. Director, Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow	*Member*
12. President, Indian Medical Association, Delhi.	*Member*
13. President, Organisation of Pharmaceutical Producers of India Bombay	*Member*
14. President, Indian Drug Manufacturers Association Bombay	*Member*
15. Shri Jagmohan Singh Kochhar, All India Small Scale Drug Manufacturers Association, Delhi.	*Member*
16. Managing Director, Hindustan Antibiotics Limited Poona.	*Member*
17. President, All India Organisation of Chemists	*Member*
18. Dr. B. B. Gaitode, New Delhi	*Member*
19. Shri Raja Kulkarni, Labour Leader, Bombay.	*Member*

20. Dr. M. D. Ballal, Chief Cardiologist Silver, Jubleis Cardiac, Rehabilitation & Research Centre Project Sadar, Nagpur. . *Member*

21. Shri Yashodhan Kale, Chartered Accountant, Bombay. . . . *Member*

22. Secretary, DGTD. . . . . *Member*

23. Chairman, Export Promotion Council Bombay. . . . . *Member*

24. Joint Secretary and Development Commission (Drugs) Ministry of Chemicals & Fertilizers . . . . . *Member*

25. Joint Secretary (Drugs) Ministry of Chemicals *and* Fertilizers . . *Member Secretary*

Action against PFY Manufacturing Companies

331. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA:

SHRI N. E. BALARAM:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Polyester Filament Yarn (PFY) manufacturing companies were allowed import of machinery with the condition that it will be financed by the export of Polyester Fibre and Yarn;

(b) if so, what are the companies and the value of machinery imported under this contract so far;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the obligation of exports committed by them is not complied with;

(d) if so, the total value of exports made by these companies under this contract so far; and

(e) what action proposed to be taken by Government against those companies who are violating the contract?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (b) The following Polyester Filament Yarn (PFY) manufacturing companies were allowed import of machinery with a condition for export of fabrics/polyester filament yarn for twice the value of imported Capital Goods:—

S.No.	Name of the Unit	CIF Value of imported Capital Goods
		(Rs.)
1	M/s Orkay Silk Mills Ltd., Bombay	16,58,26,800
2	M/s Reliance Industries Ltd. Bombay	21,61,17,880
3	M/s J.K. Synthetics Ltd., New Delhi	13,74,60,100
4	M/s Indian Organic Chemicals Ltd.. Bombay	8,89,58,600

(c) to (e) These units have represented for waiver of export obligation mainly on the ground that Polyester Filament Yarn produced in India is not competitive in the international market due to higher cost of production. A final view on the matter is yet to be taken.

Electrification of Tikri-Bhoggapur in Aligarh

332. SHRI F. M. KHAN: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Rural Electrification Corporation has elec-